Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Burnout Prevention in the Non-Profit Sector: Toward a Multi-Level Framework of Sustainability

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-prvbrnstrgvlstf-09

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Burnout Prevention in the Non-Profit Sector: Toward a Multi-Level Framework of Sustainability

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-prvbrnstrgvlstf-09

Abstract

Burnout in the non-profit sector is a critical determinant of organisational sustainability, mission effectiveness, and workforce well-being. This article synthesises insights from psychological, organisational, and systemic perspectives to propose a multi-level framework for prevention. At the individual level, practices such as boundary setting, peer support, access to mental health care, and digital wellbeing tools are important but insufficient on their own. At the organisational level, strategies including trauma-informed cultures, clear role definitions, supervision, recognition, and the allocation of resources for wellbeing are essential to mitigate chronic exhaustion and disengagement. At the systemic level, donor frameworks, labour rights debates, and humanitarian accountability standards shape the broader conditions of non-profit work. A central conclusion is that burnout cannot be addressed through individual resilience alone: it requires shared responsibility across governance structures, donor practices, and policy frameworks. Yet significant gaps remain, particularly in cross-cultural research, Global South perspectives, volunteer-specific dynamics, and digital wellbeing interventions. Addressing these gaps is vital to embed burnout prevention within both theory and practice. Ultimately, burnout prevention is not optional but a strategic imperative to safeguard the legitimacy, resilience, and survival of civil society organisations.

Keywords

burnout prevention; non-profit organisations; sustainability; resilience; governance; donor frameworks; systemic justice

1. Introduction

Burnout is not a peripheral issue in the non-profit sector; it is a central determinant of mission effectiveness, organisational sustainability, and legitimacy. Its prevalence highlights the need for a framework that integrates individual strategies, organisational reforms, and systemic interventions. This conclusion synthesises key insights from research and practice,

emphasising burnout prevention as both a governance obligation and a matter of systemic justice.

2. Individual Responsibility and Its Limits

Individual strategies—including self-care, boundary management, peer networks, resilience training, and digital wellbeing tools—help staff and volunteers sustain motivation and recover from adversity. For volunteers, recognising the sustainability of temporary withdrawal is vital; for staff, assertiveness training and validation of rest enable healthier boundaries. Yet framing burnout solely as a matter of personal resilience risks shifting responsibility away from structural conditions, obscuring the organisational and systemic dynamics that drive exhaustion.

3. Organisational Accountability

Organisations must take active responsibility for embedding wellbeing into governance and management. Key reforms include:

- **Trauma-informed cultures** that acknowledge emotional tolls and destigmatise mental health.
- Role clarity, supervision, and recognition to reduce ambiguity and invisibility.
- **Resource allocation for wellbeing**, treating staff care as integral rather than discretionary.

These measures shift burnout prevention from an individual burden to an institutional responsibility that protects both human capital and organisational resilience.

4. Systemic and Policy Dimensions

At the macro level, burnout is shaped by donor frameworks, funding cycles, accountability regimes, and regulatory environments. Short-term grants and output-driven metrics foster overwork and instability. Policy frameworks on labour rights and humanitarian standards must therefore recognise burnout prevention as essential to decent work. Donors, in turn, must fund staff and volunteer care as a core component of sustainability rather than an optional add-on.

5. Gaps in Research and Practice

Despite growing recognition, several areas remain underexplored:

- **Cross-cultural perspectives**: Most burnout research reflects Western contexts, neglecting Global South realities where donor dependency and crises intensify pressures.

- **Volunteer dynamics**: Blurred personal–organisational boundaries create distinct risks that require closer study.
- **Digital wellbeing tools**: Mobile apps and AI-driven monitoring are expanding but lack evidence on long-term effectiveness, ethical implications, and integration into organisational culture.

Closing these gaps is essential for a comprehensive and context-sensitive understanding of burnout prevention.

6. Discussion

The evidence shows that burnout prevention requires **shared responsibility** across levels:

- **Boards and executives** must integrate wellbeing into governance and accountability.
- **Donors** must treat staff and volunteer care as part of program sustainability.
- **Policy frameworks** must enshrine burnout prevention within standards of decent work and humanitarian accountability.

This collective framing redefines burnout from a private struggle into a matter of organisational legitimacy and systemic justice.

7. Conclusion

Non-profit organisations cannot achieve sustainability without protecting the wellbeing of staff and volunteers, their most vital resource. Burnout prevention is not optional; it is a strategic imperative that must be embedded at every level of governance, management, and policy. By integrating individual practices with organisational reforms and systemic accountability, non-profits can safeguard both human capital and mission continuity. In this sense, preventing burnout is about more than sustaining individuals: it is about securing the legitimacy and long-term survival of civil society itself.

References

- Bryson, J. M. (2018). Strategic planning for social impact. NEYA Global Publishing.
- Cornforth, C., & Brown, W. A. (Eds.). (2014). Nonprofit governance: Innovative perspectives and approaches. Routledge.
- Ebrahim, A. (2003). Accountability in practice: Mechanisms for NGOs. *World Development, 31*(5), 813-829. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00014-7

- Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist, 44*(3), 513-524. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Preventing Burnout: Strategies for Volunteers and Staff*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/burnout-prevention-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Organisational strategies for preventing burnout in the non-profit sector. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-prvbrnstrgvlstf-05
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Individual strategies for preventing burnout among volunteers and staff in the non-profit sector. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-prvbrnstrgvlstf-06
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Measuring burnout and well-being in the non-profit sector. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-prvbrnstrgvlstf-07
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Volunteer Management in the Digital Age*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/volunteer-management-digital-age-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Motivating & Retaining Online Volunteers*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/motivating-retaining-online-volunteers-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Inclusive Virtual Volunteer Programs*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/inclusive-virtual-volunteer-2025
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Burnout: A multidimensional perspective. In C. L. Cooper & P. J. Dewe (Eds.), *Handbook of stress, health, and coping* (pp. 351-367). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800951-2.00044-3
- Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P., & Maslach, C. (2009). Burnout: 35 years of research and practice. *Career Development International, 14*(3), 204-220. https://doi.org/10.1108/13620430910966406
- West, C. P., Dyrbye, L. N., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2018). Physician burnout: Contributors, consequences, and solutions. *Journal of Internal Medicine, 283*(6), 516-529. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12752