Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Grassroots Leadership and the Future of Civil Society: Synthesis and Policy Implications

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-09

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Grassroots Leadership and the Future of Civil Society: Synthesis and Policy Implications

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-09

Abstract

Grassroots leadership has emerged as a defining force in shaping the legitimacy, resilience, and effectiveness of nonprofit organizations and broader civil society. This article synthesizes insights from comparative cases—including community health systems in Sub-Saharan Africa, women's collectives in South Asia, Indigenous movements in Latin America, and migrant organizations in the Global North—demonstrating how grassroots leaders mobilize communities, mediate cultural identities, and connect local struggles to institutional frameworks. Unlike professionalized NGO models, grassroots leadership is deeply relational, embedded in trust, and grounded in lived experience, making initiatives more sustainable and responsive. The analysis underscores three key policy implications: NGOs must move beyond tokenism toward genuine power-sharing; donors should fund relational processes as well as outcomes, investing in participatory monitoring and flexible frameworks; and governments must recognize grassroots leaders as partners in participatory governance rather than clients or threats. The article also identifies research priorities, including the effects of political regimes, the long-term institutional influence of grassroots leadership, and the dynamics of digital activism and transnational networks. By embedding leadership in communities, grassroots leadership redefines civil society as participatory, pluralistic, and transformative—making its recognition and protection a practical necessity for democratic resilience in an uncertain world.

Keywords

grassroots leadership; civil society; non-profit governance; participatory governance; donor policy; resilience

1. Introduction

Grassroots leadership is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of effective, democratic, and sustainable civil society. Across diverse regions, leaders rooted in communities have demonstrated the ability to mobilize collective action, sustain resilience, and mediate between local realities and institutional frameworks. Unlike professionalized NGO models,

grassroots leadership is not a supplementary component but a fundamental source of legitimacy and strength for civil society as a whole.

This article synthesizes lessons across contexts, outlining policy implications for NGOs, donors, and governments, and identifying research priorities for advancing the study of grassroots leadership.

2. Grassroots Leadership as Civil Society in Practice

Too often, civil society is equated with formal NGOs. This narrow understanding neglects everyday practices of solidarity, care, and resistance that emerge directly from communities. Grassroots leadership expands the concept of civil society by highlighting agency at the margins, embedding democracy in local contexts, and ensuring responsiveness to community priorities.

By shifting focus from managerial efficiency to relational empowerment, grassroots leadership redefines what civil society is and how it functions under conditions of inequality, crisis, or repression.

3. Policy Implications

3.1 NGOs: Beyond Tokenism

NGOs must move beyond symbolic consultation and create genuine opportunities for grassroots leaders to participate as decision-makers. Building long-term relationships of trust and recognizing informal, culturally embedded leadership is essential.

3.2 Donors: Funding Processes as well as Outcomes

Donors frequently prioritize measurable outputs while overlooking relational processes such as dialogue, trust-building, and critical reflection. Sustainable impact depends on these processes. Flexible funding, participatory evaluation, and community-defined indicators are vital.

3.3 Governments: Recognizing Grassroots Leadership in Governance

Grassroots actors are often treated either as clients of services or as threats to authority. Instead, they should be recognized as partners in policy-making and service delivery. Protecting civic space, ensuring security, and formalizing inclusion strengthen both democracy and policy effectiveness.

4. Future Research Directions

Several areas require further inquiry:

- **Political regimes**: How grassroots leadership adapts in authoritarian versus democratic settings.
- **Institutional influence**: Long-term impacts of grassroots leadership beyond programmatic outcomes.
- **Digital activism**: Systematic analysis of surveillance risks, inequalities in access, and sustainability of online organizing.
- **Transnational networks**: Comparative study of South-South solidarity and Global North-South linkages.

Interdisciplinary approaches spanning political science, sociology, anthropology, and development studies are essential for capturing the contested, multi-scalar nature of grassroots leadership.

5. Conclusion

Grassroots leadership is not only an empirical phenomenon but also a normative redefinition of civil society. By rooting leadership in trust, cultural knowledge, and lived experience, grassroots leaders challenge structural inequalities while nurturing resilience, innovation, and democratic participation.

For NGOs, donors, and governments, supporting grassroots leadership is not simply a moral imperative but a practical necessity for sustaining nonprofit effectiveness and strengthening governance. For scholars, grassroots leadership provides a critical lens for rethinking civil society as participatory, pluralistic, and transformative.

In an era marked by authoritarian resurgence, climate disruption, and digital transformation, the recognition, protection, and support of grassroots leadership will be central to the survival and vitality of democratic civil society.

References

- Banks, N., Hulme, D., & Edwards, M. (2015). NGOs, states, and donors revisited: Still too close for comfort? World Development, 66, 707–718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.09.028
- Cornwall, A., & Eade, D. (Eds.). (2010). *Deconstructing Development Discourse: Buzzwords and Fuzzwords*. Practical Action Publishing. https://doi.org/10.3362/9781780440095.000
- Ebrahim, A. (2003). *Accountability in practice: Mechanisms for NGOs*. *World Development, 31*(5), 813–829. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00014-7

- Gaventa, J., & Barrett, G. (2012). *Mapping the outcomes of citizen engagement*. *World Development, 40*(12), 2399–2410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2012.05.014
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Grassroots Leadership: Empowering Local Voices*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/grassroots-leadership-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Conceptual foundations of grassroots leadership: Theories, traditions, and critical perspectives. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-02
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Challenges to grassroots leadership: Autonomy, power, and vulnerability. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-06
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Future trends in grassroots leadership: Digital activism, transnational solidarity, and hybrid models. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-08
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Public Policy: Power and Influence in Decision-Making*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/public-policy-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Organizational Legitimacy: Earning & Sustaining Public Trust*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/legitimacy-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Strategic Planning for Social Impact*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/strategic-planning-2025
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community. Simon & Schuster. https://doi.org/10.1145/358916.361990
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Tarrow, S. (2011). *Power in Movement: Social Movements and Contentious Politics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973529