Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Future Trends in Grassroots Leadership: Digital Activism, Transnational Solidarity, and Hybrid Models

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-08

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Future Trends in Grassroots Leadership: Digital Activism, Transnational Solidarity, and Hybrid Models

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-08

Abstract

The future of grassroots leadership is being shaped by digital technologies, transnational solidarity, intersectional struggles, youth-driven movements, and hybrid models linking local embeddedness to global advocacy. This article explores these emerging trajectories, highlighting both opportunities and vulnerabilities. Digital platforms expand the reach of grassroots leadership through rapid mobilization and narrative-building, yet they also expose activists to surveillance, censorship, and disinformation. Transnational South-South networks increasingly connect Indigenous, feminist, and environmental movements, fostering horizontal learning while raising questions of access and representation. Intersectional leadership brings together struggles for climate justice, Indigenous sovereignty, and feminist digital activism, emphasizing systemic transformation. Youth-led movements, from Fridays for Future to pro-democracy uprisings, redefine leadership through decentralized, networked forms of mobilization, though they face challenges of sustainability and institutional access. Hybrid models allow grassroots leaders to navigate local councils, national politics, and international institutions, offering both opportunities for influence and risks of co-optation. The analysis concludes that future grassroots leadership will be more digital, transnational, intersectional, and youth-driven, with hybrid models providing strategic pathways to connect community voices to global frameworks—provided accountability to local constituencies remains central.

Keywords

grassroots leadership; digital activism; transnational solidarity; intersectionality; youth leadership; hybrid models

1. Introduction

Grassroots leadership is undergoing rapid transformation as communities adapt to technological change, shifting global politics, and new social movements. While rooted in trust and community legitimacy, grassroots leadership increasingly operates in digital and transnational spaces, linking local struggles to global frameworks. This article examines

emerging trends that are likely to shape the future of grassroots leadership: digital mobilization, South-South solidarity, intersectional struggles, youth-led movements, and hybrid models that bridge local and global arenas.

2. Digital Activism and Leadership

Digital platforms such as Facebook, WhatsApp, and Twitter (X) have become essential tools for grassroots mobilization, providing channels for rapid information sharing, storytelling, and cross-border advocacy. Blogs, podcasts, and videos allow communities to challenge dominant narratives and craft their own representations.

However, digital activism exposes grassroots leaders to new risks. Authoritarian regimes employ surveillance technologies, algorithmic censorship, and disinformation campaigns to monitor and repress activism. As such, digital leadership is simultaneously empowering and vulnerable, requiring strategies of digital security and resilience.

3. Transnational South-South Solidarity

A defining feature of emerging grassroots leadership is the growth of horizontal transnational networks. Unlike traditional North-South aid models, these movements emphasize mutual recognition and shared struggle. Indigenous land rights campaigns in Latin America, feminist collectives in South Asia, and environmental justice movements in Africa are increasingly interconnected.

These alliances amplify local struggles into global campaigns. Yet access to transnational networks often requires resources, education, mobility, or language skills, raising concerns about whose voices are amplified and who remains excluded.

4. Intersectional Justice Movements

Future grassroots leadership is increasingly intersectional, linking ecological, cultural, and gender struggles. Climate justice movements, often led by Indigenous and youth leaders, demand not only environmental sustainability but also historical accountability for colonialism and extractivism. Feminist digital activism challenges gender-based violence and promotes reproductive rights, creating global solidarity networks.

These interconnected struggles position grassroots leadership as systemic in scope, addressing overlapping inequalities and reimagining justice in holistic terms.

5. Youth-Led Movements

Young people are reshaping grassroots leadership globally. Movements such as Fridays for Future and pro-democracy protests in Hong Kong, Sudan, and Chile demonstrate the

capacity of youth to mobilize mass participation and reframe political debates. Their leadership often rejects hierarchy, favoring decentralized, networked forms of organization.
Youth leaders bring creativity, digital fluency, and transnational reach, but they face challenges of sustainability, limited institutional access, and generational tensions. Nevertheless, their centrality to climate, democracy, and justice movements positions them as pivotal actors in the future of grassroots leadership.

6. Hybrid Local-Global Models

Hybrid models integrate local embeddedness with global advocacy, allowing leaders to navigate village councils, national parliaments, and international institutions. Indigenous climate movements influencing United Nations debates and migrant advocacy groups shaping human rights frameworks illustrate this strategy.
While hybrid leadership expands influence, it risks co-optation if local voices are overshadowed by donor or institutional agendas. The effectiveness of hybrid models depends on leaders maintaining accountability to their communities while leveraging global platforms strategically.

7. Discussion

The trajectories outlined—digital activism, transnational solidarity, intersectionality, youth innovation, and hybrid models—demonstrate that grassroots leadership is increasingly globalized while remaining rooted in community contexts. Yet each trend presents vulnerabilities: surveillance and repression in digital spaces, inequities in transnational networks, fragmentation in intersectional struggles, sustainability challenges in youth activism, and risks of donor capture in hybrid leadership.
For academics, these developments call for frameworks that analyze grassroots leadership as simultaneously local and transnational, digital and cultural, intersectional and systemic. For practitioners, they highlight the need to strengthen digital resilience, support equitable access to networks, and ensure accountability to communities in hybrid models.

8. Conclusion

Future grassroots leadership will be defined by its capacity to operate across multiple scales and systems. It will be increasingly digital, transnational, intersectional, and youth-led, with hybrid models bridging local realities and global debates. These trends carry both promise and risk: they expand visibility and influence but risk surveillance, exclusion, or co-optation.

Sustaining authenticity requires grounding leadership in community ownership while building resilience in digital, political, and transnational arenas. Ultimately, the future of grassroots leadership depends on its ability to remain accountable to marginalized voices while connecting them strategically to global frameworks for justice and transformation.

References

- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2013). The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139198752
- Castells, M. (2015). Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age (2nd ed.). Polity. https://doi.org/10.7312/blau17412-091
- Escobar, A. (2018). Designs for the Pluriverse: Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds. Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822371816
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Grassroots Leadership: Empowering Local Voices*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/grassroots-leadership-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Grassroots leadership and the future of civil society: Synthesis and policy implications. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-09
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Grassroots leadership in practice: Comparative regional perspectives. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-05
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Building grassroots leadership capacity: Dialogical learning, empowerment, and dual legitimacy. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-04
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Strategic Execution: Turning Vision into Impact*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/strategic-execution-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Agile Strategic Planning: Adapting to Change*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/agile-strategic-planning-2025

- Mohanty, C. T. (2003). Feminism without Borders: Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity. Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822384649
- Smith, J., & Wiest, D. (2012). Social Movements in the World-System: The Politics of Crisis and Transformation. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Tarrow, S. (2011). *Power in Movement: Social Movements and Contentious Politics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973529