Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Challenges to Grassroots Leadership: Autonomy, Power, and Vulnerability

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-06

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Challenges to Grassroots Leadership: Autonomy, Power, and Vulnerability

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-06

Abstract

Grassroots leadership is essential for community empowerment and the legitimacy of non-profit initiatives, yet it operates under persistent constraints that threaten its autonomy and sustainability. This article examines the key challenges facing grassroots leaders, arising from both external structures and internal community dynamics. Externally, tensions with NGOs and donors reveal power imbalances, as accountability frameworks privilege measurable outputs over relational processes. Risks of co-optation by political actors or local elites further jeopardize independence, while donor dependency creates vulnerabilities when funding priorities shift. Internally, grassroots leadership is challenged by generational divides, social hierarchies of class, caste, and ethnicity, and the risk of fragmentation through rivalry or factionalism. Leaders also face acute security risks, including harassment, criminalization, and violence, particularly in struggles over land, gender rights, or Indigenous autonomy. Finally, practices of tokenism and "invited participation" illustrate how grassroots voices are often co-opted for symbolic inclusion without substantive power. By analyzing these challenges, the article underscores that grassroots leadership is not inherently democratic or egalitarian but deeply contested. Addressing these pressures requires strategies of autonomy, inclusivity, protection, and financial sustainability to ensure grassroots leadership can remain authentic and transformative.

Keywords

grassroots leadership; donor dependency; co-optation; tokenism; security risks; internal divisions; autonomy

1. Introduction

Grassroots leadership is celebrated for its role in fostering empowerment, legitimacy, and accountability in non-profit and community-based initiatives. Yet its practice is fraught with persistent pressures that arise both externally—from NGOs, donors, and political structures—and internally, from community divisions and social hierarchies. These challenges reveal

that grassroots leadership is not automatically democratic or equitable but must constantly negotiate its autonomy and legitimacy.

This article analyzes the major external and internal obstacles to grassroots leadership, highlighting tensions of power, risks of co-optation, structural inequalities, and security threats.

2. Tensions with NGOs and Donors

The structural relationship between grassroots leaders and NGOs is often marked by asymmetry. NGOs may dismiss grassroots leaders as lacking technical expertise, while leaders critique NGOs for imposing donor agendas disconnected from community realities. Donor-driven accountability frameworks exacerbate these tensions by prioritizing quantifiable outputs over relational processes. As a result, grassroots leaders find their autonomy constrained and their legitimacy questioned.

3. Co-optation and Elite Capture

Grassroots leaders frequently face attempts at co-optation by political parties, state institutions, or local elites. While collaboration can provide resources or visibility, it risks redirecting initiatives toward elite interests rather than community needs. Community-driven development programs, for example, are vulnerable to distribution through kinship or patronage networks, blurring the line between cooperation and capture. Leaders must therefore navigate institutional relationships carefully to safeguard independence.

4. Donor Dependency and Sustainability

Donor dependency represents a structural vulnerability. Funding agendas often follow global priorities—such as gender equality or climate adaptation—that may not align with local needs. To secure resources, grassroots leaders may adopt donor language and frameworks, undermining authenticity. When funding is withdrawn, initiatives risk collapse, eroding trust and weakening leadership credibility. The central dilemma is whether donor engagement strengthens or undermines grassroots autonomy.

5. Internal Divisions and Inequalities

Grassroots leadership is also challenged by divisions within communities. Generational tensions emerge when older leaders prioritize tradition and younger leaders push for innovation and digital mobilization. Social hierarchies of class, caste, or ethnicity may reproduce exclusion rather than dismantle it. Rivalries over scarce leadership opportunities

can lead to factionalism and duplication. These dynamics highlight that grassroots leadership reflects wider societal inequalities and is not inherently egalitarian.

6. Security Risks and Repression

Community leaders are increasingly vulnerable to harassment, criminalization, and violence, especially when defending land rights, gender justice, or Indigenous autonomy. Reports consistently show that land defenders, women activists, and Indigenous leaders are among the most targeted groups worldwide. Governments often brand activists as "foreign agents" or accuse them of sedition to delegitimize their work. These threats not only endanger individuals but also discourage broader participation, producing a chilling effect on civic engagement.

7. Tokenism and Invited Participation

A final challenge is tokenism, where grassroots leaders are invited to consultations or advisory boards to demonstrate inclusivity but without real decision-making power. Such practices create the illusion of empowerment while reinforcing institutional hierarchies. Tokenism risks undermining genuine autonomy by reducing grassroots leadership to a symbolic presence rather than a substantive force for transformation.

8. Discussion

The multiple challenges facing grassroots leadership underscore its contested and precarious nature. Tensions with NGOs and donors, risks of co-optation, structural inequalities, and security threats all limit autonomy and sustainability. Internally, divisions along generational, class, or ethnic lines complicate unity, while tokenism reduces grassroots voices to symbolic gestures.

For academics, these challenges highlight the need to interrogate assumptions of grassroots leadership as inherently democratic. For practitioners, they point to strategies for strengthening autonomy, safeguarding leaders, reducing donor dependency, and addressing internal inequalities.

9. Conclusion

Grassroots leadership is indispensable for authentic empowerment and democratic accountability in non-profit practice. Yet it operates under constant pressures that challenge its independence, inclusivity, and security. Addressing these challenges requires strategies to negotiate partnerships while preserving autonomy, develop alternative financial models, protect leaders from repression, and move beyond tokenism toward genuine empowerment.

Ultimately, grassroots leadership is best understood as a fragile yet resilient practice that must continually navigate tensions of power, legitimacy, and survival. Sustaining it demands not only technical support but also solidarity, protection, and critical reflection on the structures in which it operates.

References

- Banks, N., Hulme, D., & Edwards, M. (2015). NGOs, states, and donors revisited: Still too close for comfort? World Development, 66, 707–718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.09.028
- Cornwall, A. (2008). Unpacking 'participation': Models, meanings and practices. Community Development Journal, 43(3), 269–283. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsn010
- Ebrahim, A. (2003). *Accountability in practice: Mechanisms for NGOs*. *World Development, 31*(5), 813–829. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00014-7
- Fox, J. A. (2015). Social accountability: What does the evidence really say? World Development, 72, 346–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.03.011
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Grassroots Leadership: Empowering Local Voices*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/grassroots-leadership-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Grassroots leadership in non-profit governance: Community, power, and participation. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-01
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Measuring the impact of grassroots leadership: Levels, methods, and participatory approaches. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-07
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Grassroots leadership in practice: Comparative regional perspectives. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-grsrldemplcvc-05
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Crisis & Contingency Planning*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/crisis-contingency-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Leadership in Mission-Driven Organizations*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/leadership-mission-driven-2025

- Global Witness. (2020). Defending Tomorrow: The Climate Crisis and Threats against Land and Environmental Defenders. Global Witness.
- Tarrow, S. (2011). *Power in Movement: Social Movements and Contentious Politics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973529