Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Addressing Roadblocks and Challenges in Non-Profit Strategy Execution

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-strextrvsinms-08

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Addressing Roadblocks and Challenges in Non-Profit Strategy Execution

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-strextrvsinms-08

Abstract

Roadblocks in non-profit strategy execution often emerge from a predictable set of organizational and environmental factors—capacity constraints, stakeholder resistance, weak problem-solving routines, and the absence of continuous-improvement mechanisms. This article develops a structured, practice-ready approach to anticipating and addressing such challenges in low-resource contexts. First, it outlines early-warning diagnostics that combine SWOT, risk registers, stakeholder analysis, environmental scanning, and lessons-learned reviews to surface latent barriers before they stall initiatives. Second, it systematizes problem solving with root-cause methods (5 Whys, Ishikawa), option shaping (brainstorming, mind mapping), and prioritization (Pareto, Force-Field Analysis) to convert analysis into targeted action. Third, it details momentum-sustaining routines—short-cycle milestones, transparent communication cadences, recognition systems, and enablement supports—that protect execution energy. Finally, it embeds continuous improvement through feedback loops, iterative (Agile) review cycles, benchmarking, and implementation of corrective actions. The contribution bridges theory and practice by integrating classic change and quality frameworks with mission-driven constraints, offering academics a synthesized lens on execution failure modes and providing practitioners with a coherent toolkit to detect, diagnose, and dismantle barriers while sustaining organizational learning.

Keywords

non-profit management; roadblocks; root cause analysis; risk management; continuous improvement; change management; strategy execution

1. Introduction

In non-profit organizations, even minor obstacles can derail strategy execution when resources, time, and managerial attention are scarce. Effective organizations treat barriers not as episodic crises but as routine, diagnosable phenomena. This article presents a structured approach for non-profits to (a) detect barriers early, (b) resolve them systematically, (c) sustain team momentum, and (d) institutionalize continuous improvement so that execution becomes more resilient over time.

2. Identifying Barriers Early: From Signals to a Shared Picture

SWOT analysis provides a compact scan of internal constraints and external pressures, translating diffuse concerns into an agenda for mitigation. **Risk assessment** operationalizes this view into a *risk register* with likelihood, impact, owners, and mitigation plans (ISO 31000). **Stakeholder analysis** surfaces hidden resistance and legitimacy risks by mapping expectations of beneficiaries, donors, officials, partners, and staff. **Environmental scanning** tracks shifts in policy, economics, and technology that can upend plans. Finally, **historical analysis** (lessons learned) reveals recurring failure modes—coordination delays, budget slippage, or partner misalignment—so teams can pre-empt repetition.

3. Structured Problem-Solving Methodologies

Once issues are visible, disciplined methods convert insight into action:

- **Root Cause Analysis (RCA)**: the *5 Whys* (Ohno) and **Ishikawa (fishbone) diagrams** prevent symptom chasing by exposing causal chains (e.g., "delay" → "rework" → "unclear handoffs" → "no RACI").
- **Brainstorming and mind mapping** (Buzan & Buzan) broaden the option set, encouraging diverse perspectives and low-cost experiments.
- **Option testing with SWOT** clarifies trade-offs among candidate solutions.
- **Pareto analysis (80/20)** concentrates effort on the few causes driving most effects (e.g., two service lines generating 75% of complaints).
- **Force Field Analysis** (Lewin) assesses drivers and restrainers, informing design of reinforcements (training, tooling, leadership sponsorship) and mitigations (simplified processes, incentives).

These tools are complementary: RCA informs *what to fix*, brainstorming/mind mapping generate *how to fix*, Pareto/Force-Field help *where to start* and *how to sequence*.

4. Sustaining Momentum: Keeping Execution Energy High

Momentum erodes when teams cannot see progress or feel unsupported. Effective leaders:

- **Set short-cycle milestones** and celebrate visible "wins" to maintain engagement.
- **Institutionalize communication cadences** (weekly stand-ups, monthly reviews) that share progress, surface blockers, and align priorities.
- **Recognize contributions**—formally (awards, stretch opportunities) and informally (public thanks)—to reinforce desired behaviors.

- **Enable the front line** with training, job aids, and rapid access to expert help so technical or process frictions do not stall delivery.
- **Model presence**: leader visibility and responsiveness signal that execution matters.

5. Continuous Improvement: From Fixes to Learning Systems

Isolated fixes fade unless converted into routines:

- **Feedback loops** (surveys, retrospectives, suggestion channels) capture signals from beneficiaries and staff.
- **Iterative cycles** (e.g., Agile reviews) translate feedback into small, rapid adjustments that compound over time.
- **Benchmarking** identifies realistic targets and importable practices from peer organizations.
- **Lessons-learned reviews** codify what worked/failed and update playbooks, checklists, and training.
- **Performance monitoring** links corrective actions to KPIs, validating whether interventions worked and when to pivot (Deming; Kaplan & Norton).

Together these practices transform problem response from ad-hoc firefighting to a durable learning capability.

6. Illustrative Applications

- **Community health outreach**: A risk register flags volunteer turnover and supply disruptions; RCA reveals onboarding gaps. A 5-Whys session leads to a two-hour micro-training and simplified checklists, reducing missed visits by 40% over two months.
- **Volunteer engagement slump**: Mind mapping and Pareto analysis show that two time slots account for most no-shows. Re-timed shifts and peer recognition increase fulfilled shifts within three review cycles.
- **Fundraising partnership delays**: Force-Field Analysis highlights legal review as a restraining force; a template MoU and pre-approved clauses cut cycle time by half.

7. Discussion

Addressing roadblocks in non-profits demands both **technical discipline** (RCA, risk management, prioritization) and **social acumen** (stakeholder alignment, recognition, communication). Methods fail when used performatively (e.g., a fishbone without data, a risk register without owners) or when change leadership is absent. Conversely, modest,

well-sequenced interventions—chosen via Pareto logic and supported by change routines—can yield outsized execution gains. The core managerial task is to knit diagnostics, problem solving, and improvement cycles into one operating rhythm.

8. Conclusion

Proactive barrier management is a hallmark of execution-ready non-profits. By pairing early-warning diagnostics with rigorous problem solving, sustaining momentum through communication and recognition, and institutionalizing continuous improvement, organizations increase reliability under constraint. For scholars, this synthesis situates classic change and quality theories within mission-driven environments. For practitioners, it offers a coherent, low-cost toolkit to detect, diagnose, and dismantle roadblocks—turning uncertainty into adaptive capacity and planned intent into measurable results.

References

- Buzan, T., & Buzan, B. (1993). *The mind map book*. BBC Books.
- Deming, W. E. (2000). *Out of the crisis*. MIT Press. (Original work published 1986)
- International Organization for Standardization. (2018). *ISO 31000:2018 Risk management—Guidelines*. ISO.
- Ishikawa, K. (1985). What is total quality control? The Japanese way. Prentice Hall.
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2008). The execution premium: Linking strategy to operations for competitive advantage. Harvard Business Press.
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Strategic Execution: Turning Vision into Impact*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/strategic-execution-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Leadership and Change Management in Non-Profit Strategy Execution*. NEYA Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-strextrvsinms-06
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Case Studies and Best Practices in Non-Profit Strategy Execution*. NEYA Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-strextrvsinms-09
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Crisis & Contingency Planning*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/crisis-contingency-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Agile Strategic Planning: Adapting to Change*. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/agile-strategic-planning-2025

- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Monitoring and Tracking Progress in Non-Profit Organizations*. NEYA Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-strextrvsinms-07
- Kotter, J. P. (2012). *Leading change*. Harvard Business Review Press. https://doi.org/10.15358/9783800646159
- Lewin, K. (1951). Field theory in social science: Selected theoretical papers. Harper & Row.
- Ohno, T. (1988). Toyota production system: Beyond large-scale production. Productivity Press.
-