Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Community Engagement and Mobilization in Crisis Communication: Strategies for Inclusive and Resilient Response

DOI: 10.64357/neya-gjnps-crcmengcm-07

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Community Engagement and Mobilization in Crisis Communication: Strategies for Inclusive and Resilient Response

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-crcmengcm-07

Abstract

Community engagement and mobilization are critical to the success of crisis communication, particularly in low-resource environments. This article explores strategies for involving local leaders and influencers, coordinating volunteer networks, establishing two-way communication channels, and ensuring inclusive outreach to vulnerable populations. Engagement with trusted community figures enhances credibility and encourages collective action, while volunteer mobilization expands local response capacity and operational reach. Two-way communication mechanisms, including community meetings, hotlines, suggestion boxes, and social media platforms, facilitate feedback, promote trust, and allow adaptive management. Inclusive strategies ensure that marginalized groups—such as the elderly, persons with disabilities, women, children, and non-native speakers—receive accessible and culturally appropriate information. Case studies, including the Ebola outbreak in West Africa, the 2015 Nepal earthquake, Hurricane Katrina, and COVID-19 public health interventions, demonstrate effective practices in real-world crises. By integrating community engagement into crisis communication frameworks, organizations can foster resilience, strengthen public trust, and improve the effectiveness of emergency response efforts.

Keywords

community engagement; crisis communication; volunteer mobilization; inclusive communication; low-resource settings; public trust; social cohesion

1. Introduction

Active community participation is central to effective crisis communication. Engaging local leaders, mobilizing volunteers, fostering dialogue, and ensuring inclusive outreach enhance

trust, improve response coordination, and strengthen social cohesion. This article examines the principles, strategies, and applications of community engagement in crisis communication, with particular emphasis on low-resource and culturally diverse settings.

2. Context and Background

Community engagement is grounded in theories of participatory development, social capital, and risk communication (Chambers, 2014; Ebrahim, 2003). By leveraging local networks and social structures, organizations can enhance message credibility, facilitate information dissemination, and mobilize resources efficiently. Engagement efforts in low-resource environments often rely on culturally embedded authorities, volunteer networks, and accessible communication channels to overcome infrastructural constraints.

3. Core Strategies for Community Engagement

3.1 Engaging Local Leaders and Influencers

Identifying and collaborating with trusted figures—such as religious leaders, traditional authorities, educators, and local officials—ensures credible and culturally appropriate message delivery. Building relationships prior to crises strengthens preparedness and enables rapid mobilization when emergencies occur. The Ebola outbreak in West Africa demonstrated how religious leaders effectively disseminated health information and encouraged compliance with safety measures.

3.2 Volunteer Mobilization

Volunteers provide essential human resources, particularly in low-resource contexts. Effective mobilization involves:

- Recruitment through local media, social networks, and community gatherings
- Contextualized training in first aid, communication, and logistics
- Clear role definition to ensure coordinated task allocation
- Recognition and support to sustain engagement and motivation

The 2015 Nepal earthquake highlighted the importance of volunteers in delivering relief services and conducting needs assessments.

3.3 Two-Way Communication

Establishing feedback mechanisms enables organizations to respond adaptively to community needs. Tools include:

- Community meetings for information sharing and dialogue
- Suggestion boxes for discreet feedback
- Hotlines and help desks for real-time reporting and guidance
- Social media and messaging applications for rapid updates and interaction

During the COVID-19 pandemic, these mechanisms were critical for addressing public concerns and misinformation.

3.4 Inclusive Communication for Vulnerable Populations

Identifying marginalized groups—such as the elderly, persons with disabilities, children, women, and non-native speakers—allows organizations to tailor outreach. Strategies include:

- Multilingual and visually accessible materials
- Use of community radio and other low-tech methods
- Partnerships with organizations serving vulnerable populations

Hurricane Katrina and the Nepal earthquake demonstrated the effectiveness of these inclusive approaches.

4. Case Studies and Illustrative Examples

- **West Africa Ebola Outbreak**: Religious leaders disseminated culturally relevant health messages.

- **2015 Nepal Earthquake**: Community radio and volunteer mobilization expanded local response capacity.
- **COVID-19 Pandemic**: Community meetings facilitated two-way communication, clarified health guidelines, and countered misinformation.
- **Hurricane Katrina and Nepal Earthquake**: Inclusive communication strategies ensured marginalized populations received timely information.

These examples illustrate the practical benefits of engaging communities and mobilizing resources in crisis response.

5. Discussion

Community engagement enhances the effectiveness, credibility, and reach of crisis communication. Low-resource settings require strategies that leverage local leadership, volunteer networks, and accessible communication channels. Challenges include limited resources, cultural diversity, and sustaining volunteer motivation over time. Proactive engagement, feedback mechanisms, and inclusive communication practices help overcome these challenges and build resilient, informed, and cooperative communities (Patton, 2015; UNDP, 2018).

6. Conclusion

Community engagement and mobilization are essential for inclusive and effective crisis communication. Engaging local leaders, mobilizing volunteers, establishing two-way communication, and addressing the needs of vulnerable populations strengthen trust, enhance cooperation, and improve emergency response outcomes. Incorporating these strategies into crisis communication frameworks fosters resilience, empowers communities, and ensures that essential information reaches all segments of society, even in low-resource environments.

References

Chambers, R. (2014). Rural development: Putting the last first. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315835815

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Crisis Communication: Engaging Communities. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/crisis-communication-2025

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Participatory Development for Change. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/participatory-development-2025

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Tech-Driven Community Engagement. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/tech-driven-community-engagement-2025

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Networks & Alliances: Strategies for Social Impact. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/collaboration-2025

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Foundations of crisis communication. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies.

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Crisis communication strategies in low-resource contexts. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-crcmengcm-01

Ebrahim, A. (2003). Accountability in practice: Mechanisms for NGOs. World Development, 31(5), 813-829. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00014-7

Patton, M. Q. (2015). Developmental evaluation: Applying complexity concepts to enhance innovation and use. Guilford Press.

UNDP. (2018). Community resilience guidance for disaster management in low-resource settings. United Nations Development Programme.

World Health Organization. (2016). Ebola response in West Africa: Lessons learned for health communication. Geneva: WHO.