Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies

2025

Measuring Progress and Impact for the Sustainable Development Goals: Frameworks, Indicators, and Practical Methods

DOI: 10.64357/neya-gjnps-sstdvgltch-06

DR. ANNA NEYA KAZANSKAIA

Neya Global Publishing

Publication and System Notice

This publication forms part of the **NEYA Global Independent Knowledge Ecosystem** and the Non-Profit Knowledge Architecture (NPKA) framework developed by *Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia*.

The work is connected to an integrated system of books, research publications, educational materials, implementation frameworks, institutional modules, and structured knowledge architecture developed for the non-profit and social impact sector. Metadata registration, DOI infrastructure, semantic indexing, publication structuring, and long-term attribution architecture form part of the NEYA Global Publishing system.

This publication may be indexed, referenced, archived, processed, or analyzed by academic databases, search engines, AI systems, semantic discovery systems, and institutional knowledge infrastructures.

Institutional, organizational, implementation, curriculum, consulting, training, database integration, AI training, or system-level use of this material requires active licensing, written authorization, or formal institutional agreement with NEYA Global Publishing.

Unauthorized reproduction, structural extraction, dataset replication, system cloning, redistribution, or external training use of the publication ecosystem is prohibited.

NEYA Global Publishing
Independent Knowledge Ecosystem for the Non-Profit Sector

Version 1.0 | NEYA Global Publishing Publication Protocol

Measuring Progress and Impact for the Sustainable Development Goals: Frameworks, Indicators, and Practical Methods

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia
NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-sstdvgltch-06

Abstract

Robust monitoring and evaluation (M&E) are essential for translating the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into credible outcomes and public accountability. This article synthesizes established and practice-tested approaches for measuring progress and impact, with emphasis on feasibility in resource-constrained environments. It compares the strengths and limitations of the Logical Framework Approach (LogFrame), Results-Based Management (RBM), Theory of Change (ToC), and Outcome Mapping (OM), and shows how participatory monitoring and evaluation (PM&E) deepens local ownership and improves data relevance. The article operationalizes SDG-aligned Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) through SMART criteria and links them to the UN Global Indicator Framework. It also examines cost-effective data systems—including mobile data collection (ODK, KoboToolbox), GIS and remote sensing, and open data sources—alongside practical guidance for data quality assurance, iterative learning, and transparent reporting. By integrating rigorous indicators with participatory methods and appropriate technologies, organizations can maintain alignment with SDG targets, adapt to changing contexts, and demonstrate credible impact to stakeholders. The analysis offers an integrative roadmap for academics studying evaluation in complex systems and for practitioners designing lean, resilient M&E architectures.

Keywords

Sustainable Development Goals; monitoring and evaluation; Theory of Change; Results-Based Management; Outcome Mapping; indicators; mobile data collection

1. Introduction

Measuring progress toward the SDGs requires more than tracking outputs; it requires theory-informed frameworks, valid indicators, reliable data systems, and inclusive processes that feed evidence back into decision-making. Organizations—especially in low-income and fragile settings—need methods that are both rigorous and pragmatic. This article outlines complementary M&E approaches, demonstrates how to select and operationalize KPIs aligned with SDG targets, and offers practical tools for data collection, analysis, and reporting that balance quality with feasibility.

2. Frameworks for Measuring Progress

2.1 Logical Framework Approach (LogFrame)

The LogFrame structures objectives, indicators, means of verification, and assumptions in a single matrix, clarifying the causal chain and accountability lines across the project cycle. Its comparability and simplicity facilitate donor reporting, though it can be overly linear for complex, adaptive interventions.

2.2 Results-Based Management (RBM)

RBM centers on outcomes and continuous performance monitoring. By defining results, indicators, baselines, and targets upfront, RBM enables course correction through periodic review and supports organizational learning cultures.

2.3 Theory of Change (ToC)

ToC articulates the hypothesized pathways from activities to long-term impact, surfacing assumptions, risks, and preconditions. Developed collaboratively, it aligns stakeholders around a shared logic and provides a scaffold for indicator selection and evaluation questions in complex settings.

2.4 Outcome Mapping (OM)

OM focuses on behavioral change among “boundary partners” (individuals, groups, institutions) rather than only on aggregate outcomes. It suits systems where attribution is diffuse, documenting progress markers (expect to see/like to see/love to see) and complementing RBM or LogFrame metrics.

3. Participatory and Feasible Approaches in Resource-Constrained Settings

3.1 Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation (PM&E)

PM&E involves community members, front-line workers, and other stakeholders in indicator design, data collection, and interpretation. Benefits include contextual validity, stronger ownership, and improved utilization of findings. Simple tools—community scorecards, focus groups, rapid feedback loops—make PM&E feasible at low cost.

3.2 Streamlined Frameworks

Small organizations can adapt "lite" LogFrames or ToCs with a reduced set of high-leverage indicators, prioritizing those most decision-relevant. Sampling strategies, sentinel sites, and periodic mini-surveys maintain trend visibility without prohibitive expense.

4. Indicators and KPIs Aligned to the SDGs

4.1 Alignment and SMARTness

KPIs should map directly to relevant SDG targets while meeting SMART criteria (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound). Alignment ensures comparability and supports aggregation to national SDG reporting.

4.2 Illustrative SDG-Linked KPIs

- **SDG 1 (No Poverty):** Proportion below national poverty line; coverage of social protection floors.
- **SDG 2 (Zero Hunger):** Prevalence of undernourishment; share of small-scale food producers with secure land rights.
- **SDG 3 (Health):** Maternal mortality ratio; under-five mortality rate; immunization coverage.
- **SDG 4 (Education):** Net enrolment and completion rates; youth literacy (15–24).
- **SDG 5 (Gender Equality):** Women in managerial roles; gender earnings gap; incidence of GBV reporting and response.

KPIs should be periodically reviewed to remain salient as contexts evolve.

5. Data Collection and Analysis: Practical Methods

5.1 Mobile Data Collection

Open Data Kit (ODK), KoboToolbox, and SurveyCTO enable offline form design, enumerator management, skip logic, GPS stamping, and rapid syncing—lowering cost and error while accelerating feedback.

5.2 Mixed-Methods Designs

Combine structured surveys (quantitative) with focus groups and key informant interviews (qualitative) to triangulate findings. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) techniques (e.g., mapping, ranking) illuminate local priorities and causal mechanisms.

5.3 GIS and Remote Sensing

Freely available imagery and GIS layers help monitor land use, forest cover, and infrastructure access, strengthening environmental and spatial indicators (e.g., SDG 15, SDG 11, SDG 6).

5.4 Open Data Integration

Public datasets (e.g., UN SDG indicators, World Bank Open Data) provide benchmarks and contextual variables, reducing primary data burden.

5.5 Data Quality Assurance

Use enumerator training, piloting, built-in validation rules, spot checks, and double-entry audits. Maintain a data dictionary and version control; document sampling frames and limitations.

6. Reporting, Learning, and Use

6.1 Transparent Reporting

Concise reports should present objectives, methods, results, limitations, and implications. Executive summaries, visuals (charts, maps), and clear narrative enhance accessibility. Jargon-free language and translation broaden stakeholder reach.

6.2 Feedback and Validation

Share preliminary findings in community and stakeholder workshops to validate interpretations, identify actionable recommendations, and strengthen legitimacy.

6.3 Iterative Adaptation

Embed review cycles (quarterly/biannual) to adjust activities, budgets, and partnerships based on evidence. Document decisions and learning to institutionalize improvement.

7. Conclusion

Measuring SDG progress requires integrating clear theories of change, feasible indicator sets, reliable and low-cost data systems, and inclusive processes that turn information into decisions. LogFrame and RBM provide structure; ToC and OM capture complexity and behavioral change; PM&E anchors relevance and ownership. Mobile tools, GIS, and open data make rigorous monitoring attainable in constrained contexts. When combined with transparent reporting and iterative learning, these elements create resilient M&E systems that maintain alignment with SDG targets and credibly demonstrate impact to communities, funders, and policymakers.

References

- Earl, S., Carden, F., & Smutylo, T. (2001). Outcome mapping: Building learning and reflection into development programs. International Development Research Centre.
- Hartung, C., Lerer, A., Anokwa, Y., Tseng, C., Brunette, W., & Borriello, G. (2010). Open Data Kit: Tools to build information services for developing regions. In Proceedings of the 4th ACM/IEEE ICTD (pp. 1-12). ACM/IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1145/2369220.2369236
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Sustainable development goals and tech. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/sdg-tech-2025

- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Impact assessment: Measuring & communicating. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/impact-assessment-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Financial management: Best practices and tools. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/financial-management-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Participatory development for change. NEYA Global Publishing. https://doi.org/10.64357/participatory-development-2025
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Understanding the sustainable development goals: Origins, framework, and global commitment. NEYA Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-sstdvgltch-02
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). The sustainable development goals: Framework, challenges, and pathways to inclusive global progress. NEYA Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies.
- Kusek, J. Z., & Rist, R. C. (2004). Ten steps to a results-based monitoring and evaluation system. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/11269
- Patton, M. Q. (2011). Developmental evaluation: Applying complexity concepts to enhance innovation and use. Guilford Press.
- United Nations Statistical Commission. (2021). Global indicator framework for the Sustainable Development Goals and targets of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (A/RES/71/313, E/CN.3/2021/2/Add.1). United Nations.