

# Impact Assessment in International Development: Methods, Challenges, and Applications

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia

NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-me-impact-2025>

## Abstract

Impact assessment is a critical dimension of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E), enabling organizations to determine the long-term effects of development interventions. Unlike output or outcome monitoring, impact assessment examines attribution, sustainability, and equity, ensuring that programs generate meaningful and inclusive change. This article explores the principal methods of impact assessment, including experimental and quasi-experimental designs, contribution analysis, and economic evaluations such as cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis. It emphasizes the importance of integrating qualitative methods to capture contextual depth and stakeholder perspectives. Special attention is given to the challenges of implementing impact assessments in low-resource settings, where limited data, financial constraints, and contextual complexity require adaptive and innovative solutions. Practical strategies, such as leveraging secondary data, applying mobile technologies, and building local capacity, demonstrate how impact assessments can remain rigorous and feasible even in constrained environments. The article concludes that robust impact assessment requires methodological pluralism, ethical transparency, and participatory engagement to ensure that evidence informs sustainable and equitable development outcomes.

## Keywords

impact assessment; monitoring and evaluation; randomized controlled trials; quasi-experimental design; contribution analysis; cost-effectiveness; low-resource settings

## 1. Introduction

Impact assessment is a cornerstone of development evaluation, designed to measure the long-term changes attributable to specific interventions. Unlike short-term monitoring, impact assessment captures both intended and unintended effects, addressing questions of attribution, sustainability, and equity. Its relevance extends beyond technical evaluation: it provides evidence for policy, guides resource allocation, and ensures accountability to stakeholders.

## 2. Core Elements of Impact Assessment

Three dimensions define impact assessment:

- **Attribution:** Establishing causal links between interventions and observed changes.
- **Magnitude:** Measuring the scale of impact in quantitative and qualitative terms.
- **Sustainability and equity:** Evaluating whether benefits endure beyond program completion and are distributed fairly across populations.

These elements ensure that impact assessment contributes both to academic rigor and to the practical improvement of development practice.

### 3. Methodological Approaches

#### 3.1 Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs

Experimental methods, particularly Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs), provide high internal validity by randomly assigning participants to treatment and control groups. Despite their strengths, RCTs are costly, time-consuming, and may raise ethical concerns. Quasi-experimental approaches —such as difference-in-differences (DiD) or regression discontinuity design (RDD)—offer practical alternatives, though they face limitations related to bias and validity.

#### 3.2 Contribution Analysis

Contribution analysis is well-suited for complex contexts where multiple factors influence outcomes. It employs a Theory of Change framework to test assumptions, examine causal pathways, and assess the program’s contribution relative to alternative explanations.

#### 3.3 Economic Evaluation

Economic methods assess efficiency:

- **Cost-benefit analysis (CBA):** Compares monetary costs and benefits to estimate net value.
- **Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA):** Assesses relative costs of achieving specific outcomes, such as years of schooling or lives saved.

While useful for prioritization, these methods face challenges in valuing intangible benefits and addressing distributional fairness.

### 4. Integrating Qualitative Methods

Qualitative approaches enhance understanding of program impacts by capturing lived experiences and social dynamics. Techniques such as in-depth interviews, focus groups, case studies, and participatory assessments complement quantitative measures. Although resource-intensive, these methods provide insights into how and why impacts occur, fostering more holistic evaluation.

### 5. Impact Assessment in Low-Resource Settings

Low-resource contexts present distinct challenges: limited data availability, financial constraints, and institutional capacity gaps. Strategies to address these barriers include:

- Using secondary data from government agencies or prior studies.
- Employing low-cost mobile surveys and community-based reporting systems.
- Building local capacity through training and partnerships with universities or NGOs.
- Leveraging digital tools for data collection, analysis, and reporting.

These strategies balance rigor with feasibility, ensuring impact assessments remain relevant and credible.

### 6. Discussion

Robust impact assessment requires methodological pluralism. While experimental designs remain the “gold standard” for causal inference, they must be complemented by qualitative insights, contribution analysis, and economic evaluations to fully capture complex realities. In addition, participatory and context-sensitive approaches increase stakeholder trust and foster learning. The

credibility of findings depends not only on technical rigor but also on transparent communication of assumptions, limitations, and ethical considerations.

## 7. Conclusion

Impact assessment is essential for understanding the transformative effects of development programs. By combining experimental, quasi-experimental, economic, and qualitative methods, practitioners can generate robust and actionable evidence. In low-resource settings, creative adaptations—such as leveraging mobile technology and community participation—ensure feasibility while maintaining rigor. Ultimately, effective impact assessment provides more than evidence of change: it strengthens accountability, fosters equity, and supports the design of sustainable development interventions.

## References

- Bamberger, M., Vaessen, J., & Raimondo, E. (2016). *Dealing with complexity in development evaluation: A practical approach*. SAGE.  
<https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483399935>
- Gertler, P. J., Martinez, S., Premand, P., Rawlings, L. B., & Vermeersch, C. (2016). *Impact evaluation in practice* (2nd ed.). World Bank.  
<https://doi.org/10.18235/0006529>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Impact assessment in international development: Methods, challenges, and applications*. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies.  
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-me-impact-2025>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Developing effective monitoring and evaluation plans in international development*. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies.  
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-me-plans-2025>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Challenges and solutions in monitoring and evaluation: Strengthening practice in diverse contexts*. Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies.  
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-me-challenges-2025>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Quantitative research methods*. Neya Global Publishing.  
<https://doi.org/10.64357/quantitative-research-methods-2025>
- Kusek, J. Z., & Rist, R. C. (2004). *Ten steps to a results-based monitoring and evaluation system*. World Bank.  
<https://doi.org/10.1596/11269>
- OECD DAC. (2019). *Better criteria for better evaluation: Revised evaluation criteria definitions and principles for use*. OECD Publishing.
- Patton, M. Q. (2011). *Developmental evaluation: Applying complexity concepts to enhance innovation and use*. Guilford Press.
- Scriven, M. (2015). Key evaluation checklist. *Evaluation Journal of Australasia*, 15(4), 14-19.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1035719X1501500302>
- White, H. (2013). An introduction to the use of randomized control trials to evaluate development interventions. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 5(1), 30-49.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2013.764652>

World Bank. (2021). Using technology to improve monitoring and evaluation in development programs. World Bank Group.