

Teaching Paper: Network Maps and Alliance Models for Non-Profit Collaboration

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia

NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-tp-01>

Abstract

Collaboration among non-profit organizations is essential for amplifying voice, pooling resources, and sustaining legitimacy in contested environments. This Teaching Paper introduces four archetypal network models—Star Networks, Mesh Networks, Hybrid Networks, and Transnational Advocacy Networks—that illustrate how NGOs structure alliances. Each model reflects distinct trade-offs between efficiency, inclusivity, accountability, and resilience. By linking theoretical insights with practical application, this paper provides tools for analyzing inter-organizational cooperation, highlighting how governance arrangements shape information flows, decision-making authority, and legitimacy. A case study illustrates application of these models in advocacy practice. The Teaching Paper concludes with challenges, reflection questions, and academic value for both scholars and practitioners.

Keywords

NGO collaboration; network governance; alliances; advocacy coalitions; transnational networks; organizational legitimacy; civil society

Executive Summary

Effective collaboration requires NGOs to structure their networks in ways that balance efficiency and inclusivity. This paper outlines four alliance models:

- **Star Networks** — centralized and efficient but vulnerable to domination.
- **Mesh Networks** — decentralized, resilient, and inclusive but harder to coordinate.
- **Hybrid Networks** — balance global coordination with local autonomy.
- **Transnational Advocacy Networks** — connect local and global actors for cross-border mobilization.

For practitioners, these models act as diagnostic tools to assess risks and opportunities in alliance-building. For scholars, they provide conceptual clarity for analyzing civil society networks.

Purpose and Learning Objectives

By engaging with this Teaching Paper, readers will be able to:

1. Understand different alliance models used by NGOs.
2. Analyze the governance trade-offs inherent in centralized vs. decentralized structures.
3. Apply network models to real-world advocacy and service delivery contexts.
4. Reflect on accountability, legitimacy, and sustainability in collaborative arrangements.

Background and Context

Networks and alliances have been central to non-profit strategy for decades. Bryson, Crosby, and Stone (2006) emphasize collaboration as a means to address “wicked problems” that no single organization can solve. Drucker (1990) highlights the role of nonprofits in building trust and legitimacy through collective action. Patton (2008) stresses adaptive evaluation as essential for alliances navigating complex environments.

From a governance perspective, Provan and Kenis (2008) distinguish network forms based on centralization and shared accountability. In practice, NGOs often shift between models as they scale or face crises, underscoring the need for flexible approaches.

Practical Guide: Network Alliance Models

1. Star Networks (Centralized Alliances)

- **Definition:** A lead NGO or secretariat acts as a hub coordinating member organizations.
- **Advantages:** Efficient information flow, strong resource distribution.
- **Challenges:** Risk of domination by the central hub; limited autonomy for members.

- **Use Case:** National NGO coalitions led by umbrella organizations.

2. Mesh Networks (Decentralized Alliances)

- **Definition:** Organizations maintain direct ties with one another.
- **Advantages:** Inclusivity, resilience, shared responsibility.
- **Challenges:** Coordination complexity, slower decision-making.
- **Use Case:** Grassroots movements and advocacy coalitions.

3. Hybrid Networks (Mixed Governance)

- **Definition:** Blend centralized secretariats with regional/local autonomy.
- **Advantages:** Balance efficiency with inclusivity; adaptable across contexts.
- **Challenges:** Potential tensions between levels of governance.
- **Use Case:** Transnational federations (e.g., international humanitarian alliances).

4. Transnational Advocacy Networks

- **Definition:** NGOs link across borders for issue-based mobilization.
- **Advantages:** Access to global institutions, amplification of local struggles.
- **Challenges:** Uneven power between Northern and Southern actors.
- **Use Case:** Human rights or environmental justice campaigns.

Case Study

In 2019, a coalition of environmental NGOs in Southeast Asia formed a **hybrid network** to influence regional climate policy. A central secretariat coordinated advocacy efforts at ASEAN level, while national nodes retained autonomy to engage local communities. This allowed the coalition to access international policy forums while maintaining grassroots legitimacy. The model exemplified how hybrid networks balance central coordination with localized action.

Common Challenges and Tips

- **Challenge:** Central hubs dominate resources.
 - *Tip:* Establish transparent governance and rotation of leadership.
- **Challenge:** Decentralized networks suffer from fragmentation.
 - *Tip:* Use digital platforms for coordination and knowledge sharing.
- **Challenge:** Transnational networks risk power imbalances.
 - *Tip:* Prioritize Southern leadership and inclusive agenda-setting.

Reflection Questions

1. Which alliance model best reflects your organization's current partnerships?

2. How do accountability demands change between centralized and decentralized networks?
3. What governance mechanisms can mitigate domination by a central hub?
4. How can NGOs ensure legitimacy in cross-border advocacy?

Limitations and Considerations

While network models provide useful heuristics, real-world alliances are fluid and dynamic. Boundaries between models blur, and organizations often shift structures in response to crises, funding changes, or political opportunity. Practitioners should treat these models as diagnostic tools, not prescriptive formulas.

Academic Value

This Teaching Paper contributes to both scholarship and practice by clarifying network governance models and linking them to non-profit legitimacy. For scholars, it consolidates theoretical insights into typologies. For practitioners, it provides a practical lens to assess risks and opportunities in alliance-building.

Conclusion and Next Steps

Non-profits operate in interdependent ecosystems where collaboration is not optional but essential. Understanding network models helps leaders make informed decisions about governance, accountability, and sustainability. Next steps include applying these models to map existing alliances and conducting evaluations to assess whether the chosen structure enhances legitimacy and impact.

Suggested Citation

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Teaching Paper: Network Maps and Alliance Models for Non-Profit Collaboration*. NEYA Global Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-tp-01>

References

- Anheier, H. K. (2014). *Nonprofit Organizations: Theory, Management, Policy* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429299681-2>
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2006). The design and implementation of cross-sector collaborations. *Public Administration Review*, 66(s1), 44–55.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00665.x>

- Drucker, P. F. (1990). *Managing the Non-Profit Organization: Practices and Principles*. HarperCollins.
- Patton, M. Q. (2008). *Utilization-Focused Evaluation* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Provan, K. G., & Kenis, P. (2008). Modes of network governance: Structure, management, and effectiveness. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(2), 229–252.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum015>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Measuring the effectiveness of NGO networks: Outcomes, processes, and methodological challenges. *Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-08>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Forms of non-profit collaboration: Typologies, structures, and strategic trade-offs. *Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-03>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Governance frameworks for NGO networks – Balancing autonomy, accountability, and efficiency (Teaching Paper). *Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-tp-02>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Networks & Alliances: Strategies for Social Impact*. NEYA Global Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/collaboration-2025>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Tech-Driven Community Engagement*. NEYA Global Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/tech-driven-community-engagement-2025>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Networking at Conferences*. NEYA Global Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/networking-at-conferences-2025>
- Smith, S. R., & Lipsky, M. (1993). *Nonprofits for Hire: The Welfare State in the Age of Contracting*. Harvard University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674043817>