

Teaching Paper: Governance Frameworks for NGO Networks – Balancing Autonomy, Accountability, and Efficiency

Dr. Anna Neya Kazanskaia

NEYA Global | NEYA Global Publishing

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5669-1676>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-tp-02>

Abstract

Governance is a critical determinant of effectiveness in NGO networks. While collaboration allows organizations to amplify impact and pool resources, it also raises challenges of authority, accountability, and coordination. This Teaching Paper introduces four key governance frameworks: participant-governed networks, lead-organization models, network administrative organizations (NAOs), and polycentric/multi-level governance systems. Each framework represents a distinct approach to balancing autonomy with efficiency, with advantages and risks for NGOs operating at local, national, and transnational scales. By analyzing these frameworks, practitioners can better design collaborative arrangements that sustain legitimacy and avoid fragmentation, while scholars gain conceptual clarity for studying governance in civil society alliances.

Keywords

NGO governance; network management; accountability; autonomy; efficiency; polycentric governance; collaborative frameworks; civil society

Executive Summary

This Teaching Paper examines governance as the backbone of effective NGO collaboration.

Four frameworks dominate the field:

- **Participant-Governed Networks** — inclusive, trust-based, but prone to deadlock.
- **Lead-Organization Governance** — efficient coordination but risks power concentration.
- **Network Administrative Organizations (NAOs)** — professionalized management but risks bureaucratization.
- **Polycentric/Multi-Level Governance** — adaptive and resilient, but complex to manage.

The paper provides a practical guide to each framework, highlights risks, and includes a case study of climate action coalitions. For NGOs, these frameworks serve as diagnostic tools to assess and redesign governance for impact and legitimacy.

Purpose and Learning Objectives

This Teaching Paper aims to:

1. Explain the main governance frameworks used by NGO networks.
2. Provide analytical tools for assessing efficiency, inclusivity, and accountability.
3. Illustrate real-world applications of governance frameworks in non-profit practice.
4. Encourage reflection on power dynamics and structural design in alliances.

Background and Context

Governance of networks has become a central theme in both organizational studies and civil society research. Provan and Kenis (2008) identified three modes of network governance—participant-governed, lead-organization, and NAOs—emphasizing trade-offs between inclusivity and efficiency. Ostrom (1990) extended this perspective through polycentric governance, highlighting adaptability across scales.

In practice, the design of governance systems shapes how NGOs share resources, resolve conflicts, and sustain legitimacy. Bryson, Crosby, and Stone (2015) underscore that effective collaborations require clear governance frameworks to balance autonomy with collective accountability. Drucker (1990) reminds us that governance is not merely administrative but fundamentally about trust and mission alignment.

Practical Guide: Governance Frameworks

1. Participant-Governed Networks

- **Definition:** All members share decision-making authority.

- **Strengths:** High inclusivity, strong ownership, effective in small trust-based groups.
- **Risks:** Slow decisions, potential for deadlock in crises.
- **Practical Note:** Best suited for grassroots alliances with strong internal trust.

2. Lead-Organization Governance

- **Definition:** A single NGO coordinates activities, manages resources, and represents the network.
- **Strengths:** Efficiency, clear leadership, effective for large heterogeneous networks.
- **Risks:** Power concentration, marginalization of smaller actors.
- **Practical Note:** Works when one organization has strong legitimacy and capacity.

3. Network Administrative Organizations (NAOs)

- **Definition:** An independent entity manages the network, often funded externally.
- **Strengths:** Professionalized coordination, stronger accountability systems.
- **Risks:** Bureaucratization, potential drift from grassroots priorities.
- **Practical Note:** Useful for donor-funded or complex multi-sector collaborations.

4. Polycentric and Multi-Level Governance

- **Definition:** Multiple overlapping authorities across local, regional, and global scales.
- **Strengths:** Adaptive, resilient, responsive to context.
- **Risks:** Complex coordination, risk of duplication and inefficiency.
- **Practical Note:** Increasingly relevant for transnational issues like climate change.

Case Study

A global coalition for climate action adopted a **polycentric governance** framework. Local NGOs engaged communities in adaptation projects, while regional hubs coordinated advocacy at intergovernmental forums. A network administrative unit tracked progress and ensured donor accountability. This multi-level system enhanced resilience, but required constant negotiation to prevent duplication and ensure inclusivity of grassroots voices.

Common Challenges and Tips

- **Challenge:** Decision-making paralysis in participant-governed models.
 - *Tip:* Use consensus protocols with fallback voting mechanisms.
- **Challenge:** Power imbalances in lead-organization models.
 - *Tip:* Establish oversight boards or rotating leadership roles.
- **Challenge:** Bureaucracy in NAOs.
 - *Tip:* Maintain strong ties to grassroots actors and participatory channels.
- **Challenge:** Coordination overload in polycentric systems.

- *Tip:* Use digital platforms and clear role division to streamline communication.

Reflection Questions

1. Which governance framework best describes your current alliances?
2. How do governance structures affect accountability to both donors and communities?
3. What mechanisms could balance efficiency with inclusivity in your network?
4. How can NGOs adapt governance as networks scale across borders?

Limitations and Considerations

No single governance model fits all contexts. Network frameworks must be adapted to size, trust levels, donor requirements, and political environments. Governance is dynamic: networks may shift frameworks over time as crises, opportunities, or funding structures change.

Academic Value

For scholars, this Teaching Paper synthesizes foundational frameworks of network governance with practical implications for civil society. For practitioners, it provides diagnostic tools to evaluate and redesign governance arrangements. By bridging theory and practice, it enhances both analytical understanding and applied strategy.

Conclusion and Next Steps

Governance frameworks profoundly influence how NGO networks balance autonomy, efficiency, and accountability. Leaders should periodically assess whether their governance model still serves the mission, making adjustments as networks evolve. Next steps include mapping governance structures of current partnerships and identifying reforms that enhance legitimacy and resilience.

Suggested Citation

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Teaching Paper: Governance Frameworks for NGO Networks — Balancing Autonomy, Accountability, and Efficiency. NEYA Global Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-tp-02>

References

- Anheier, H. K. (2014). *Nonprofit Organizations: Theory, Management, Policy* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429299681-2>

- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2015). Designing and implementing cross-sector collaborations. *Public Administration Review*, 75(5), 647–663.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12432>
- Drucker, P. F. (1990). *Managing the Non-Profit Organization: Practices and Principles*. HarperCollins.
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511807763>
- Provan, K. G., & Kenis, P. (2008). Modes of network governance: Structure, management, and effectiveness. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(2), 229–252.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum015>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Structures and governance of non-profit networks: Balancing autonomy, coordination, and accountability. *Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-05>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Forms of non-profit collaboration: Typologies, structures, and strategic trade-offs. *Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-03>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Measuring the effectiveness of NGO networks: Outcomes, processes, and methodological challenges. *Neya Global Journal of Non-Profit Studies*.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-ntallstrscimp-08>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Networks & Alliances: Strategies for Social Impact*. NEYA Global Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/collaboration-2025>
- Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). *Crisis Communication: Engaging Communities*. NEYA Global Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.64357/crisis-communication-2025>
- Smith, S. R., & Lipsky, M. (1993). *Nonprofits for Hire: The Welfare State in the Age of Contracting*. Harvard University Press.